

## Data Purchase and Access Working Group

November 16, 2017

Adobe Connect: <https://cdp.adobeconnect.com/theboardroom/>

Teleconference: 1-866-398-2885

### Attendance

- Auburn Larose (WDG)
- Charles Burchill (Manitoba)
- Evan Nemeth (Nova Scotia)
- Heath Priston (City of Toronto)
- Kelvin Ngoro (Nova Scotia)
- Louisa Wong (Hamilton)
- Mo Jeng (London)
- Natalie Hui (York Region)
- Amanda Richards (Peel Region)
- Sian Jones (City of Calgary)
- Amandine Martel (NPI)

### Agenda

- Follow up on the proposed Immigration tables - variables? custom geos?
- TGP discussion - follow-up on TGP feedback, definitions of target group households
- CPP-1 specifications (hopefully a quick discussion)
- CPP-2c specifications (hopefully a quick discussion)
- 85+ age group - include in all age group dimensions?
- Equifax follow-up - not much to report, except that TransUnion now has mortgage data
- Custom geographies - not much to report, the production system seems to be delayed, no word from STC

### Action items

- Sian to send additional Labour Force variables
- List 10 new TPG for priority list
- Update priority for working group

### Follow-up on immigration tables

- Offering up last opportunity on variables and find interest on getting these tables at custom geographies
- Request for Leads – if we are submitting custom geographies, please let us know which data is not relevant
- **Heath:** What tables are we talking about?
- **Mike:** Immigration tables, did not get much feedback from group on the variables. It was circulated among LIP network, not much from there either.
- **Heath:** Question I have – LIP boundaries (Toronto has 4), so CD doesn't give them enough details – are there other communities with this issue? We may need to add custom geographies because of this.
- **Natalie:** For York Region, the LIP boundary is the same as CD.
- **Auburn:** For us, since almost all immigrants reside within the city of Guelph, data at our custom geos (Guelph neighbourhoods) who be very value for us.

- **Sian:** For Total labour force aged For total labour force aged 15 years and over by work activity in 2015 is it only possible to get full time and other? is it too difficult to breakdown further?
- **Mike:** It should not be difficult to break this down further.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Selected characteristics by language, labour force, education, income, mobility status (26)		Admission category and applicant type (12)		Period of immigration (14)	s
48	With employment income					
49	Median employment income \$					
50	Average employment income \$					
51	Total labour force aged 15 years and over by work activity in 2015					
52	Worked full year, full time					
53	All others					
54	Total - Mobility status 1 year ago					
55	Non-movers					
56	Movers					
57	Non-migrants					

- **Heath:** Where are people coming from? World region of origin or a table that shows birth country will probably be the next thing asked for. It's a bigger table, something to think about down the road. Also, would like to know if permanent residence crosses with admission data – this may be a question for Statistics Canada.

## Target group profiles

- There is a list set out to the group. Got some feedback, still need to integrate it. It is a long list of requests – lots of new requests as well. Will try to identify variables based on suggestions – want a set of 10 that we consider to be high profile to add to the high priority list. We want it for this program year.
- Need to be a discussion – go down the list and pick priorities. Still lots of unknowns about the budget. No cost on geocoding yet – not sure when we can start putting in orders for these tables. For now, cautious going in – have a modest selection of TGP to add to high priority list and go from there. Will get back to group with what those are – let us know – will try to wrap it up this week
- Household definitions – we have 13 of them – 7 definitions need going through.
- Definition aboriginal
  - o **Auburn:** At least one.
  - o **Heath:** Same.
- Next definition recent immigrants
  - o **Heath:** I think we'd want consistency between the immigrant and recent immigrant def'ns
  - o **Natalie:** Agree with Heath
  - o **Auburn:** I would agree with Heath on this. I would also agree with Kingston on the definition. Primary or spouse.
  - o **Heath:** Yes, I agree to primary or spouse
  - o **Natalie:** Primary only if possible because that would allow us to look into households who are new to Canada
  - o **Heath:** Don't want inconsistent data, in the case of a spouse being Canadian and the other immigrant, how do we determine which is primary?
  - o **Natalie:** "Definition: First person in the household identified as someone who pays the rent or the mortgage, or the taxes, or the electricity bill, and so on, for the dwelling. In the case of a household where two or more people are listed as household maintainers, the first person listed is chosen as the primary household maintainer. The order of the persons in a household is determined by the order in which the respondent lists the

persons on the questionnaire. Generally, an adult is listed first followed, if applicable, by that person's spouse or common-law partner and by their children. The order does not necessarily correspond to the proportion of household payments made by the person."

Source: <http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/ref/dict/households-menage020-eng.cfm>

- **Sian:** We could accept primary or spouse but would want both considered in line with Heath
- **Mike:** Majority specifying primary or spouse.
- Next definition immigrant
  - **Heath:** I think consistency with how we handle aboriginal is useful. So how we decided to go with Aboriginal, we should keep in line for the rest of the variables of a similar nature.
  - **Charles:** I agree consistency where it makes sense would mean interpretation is easier.
  - Next definition seniors
  - **Heath:** Household maintainer is the one we look at when measuring things like vulnerability and etc. Any household with a senior would give us a very different picture.
  - **Auburn:** At least one household member being a senior makes sense to me
  - **Sian:** Are you suggesting household maintainer or spouse or just household maintainer heath?
  - **Heath:** Either is fine – I said with spouse as well, but maintainer is fine, too.
  - **Mike:** Does this change anything for you Auburn?
  - **Auburn:** Are we getting a living alone TGP by age group? (i.e. can we get seniors living alone from the Living Alone TGP?)
  - **Mike:**
  - **Heath:**
  - **Sian:** Generational is in there, Calgary would be happy with household maintainer, so do not miss those in 65 divide as a couple. And the rest would be captures of multi-generational household.
  - **Mike:** If we are getting a seniors living alone TGP, how does this help?
  - **Heath:** We still miss the senior couples who still make up a large portion of the population.
  - **Auburn:** If it makes sense to the group to have primary or spouse, then I'm okay with that, I was just asking about duplication with the other TGP, but it looks like that won't be an issue. I mean, it would duplicate if the primary maintainer is also living alone, I suppose.
  - **Sian:** Household maintainer or spouse would work for us, aged 65+
  - **Mike:** This seems to be favoured.
- Next definition low income
  - **Mike:** LIM-AT seems to be more standard.
- Next definition multi-generational
  - **Mike:** this is straightforward
- Next definition overspenders
- **Mike:** will look into this a bit more
- **Heath:** Are interested in a cap or are we interested in overspenders over all ages?
- **Sian:** We asked separately for information on overspenders that use over 60%?
- **Mike:** Have not heard back on that.
- **Heath:** StatsCan seems to be using 85+ a lot so we support that. Don't want to risk cutting off people. Thinking more of core housing need.
- **Natalie:** 85+ is important.

## CPP-1 specifications

- **Heath:** Because of the change of activity limitations question, want to review and see what's useful to include. Does the changes impact the data? Would like more breakdowns with visible minority.
- **Mike:** There is a CPP table that looks at visible minority category, we can definitely break that out more. Would it suffice if we had another CPP table?
- **Heath:** Yes – maybe one table to help us understand patterns across age for just aboriginal, visible minority, immigrant. And another table that has a broader 10 year age group and we can drill in on the dimensions there.
- **Mike:** will work on more details for these tables.
- **Heath:** these are top priority. May need to order activity limitations later.
- **Mike:** Break out visible minority, aboriginal, take out activity limitations and work that into another table. How does that sound?
- **Auburn:** Absolutely!
- **Heath:** <http://communitydata.ca/content/visible-minority-15>

## Approaching end of hour – wrap up

- Equifax and TransUnion, some back and forth and waiting for pricing.
- Test tables with custom geographies – will have to wait for those.
- Send along any dimensions you want to break down!
- After last release we have our next meeting – Dec 7 at 2:30pm ET.
- **Heath:** Updated priority list?
- **Mike:** Still integrating.
- **Natalie:** Would it be possible to have a single file with the most updated information regarding the planned CDP 2016 Census data orders? We are trying to identify what York Region should and could order by ourselves as an independent data order.
- **Mike:** Will have a list of tables that are for certain going to be ordered after the last release – call it Round 1.
- **Natalie:** Sounds good, Mike.
- **Charles Burchill:** Sounds good.
- **Natalie:** BTW, I will be on vacation starting from next Monday through Jan. 1st, 2018, but feel free to take your time and send the list to my colleague Anna Malenkov during my absence.